

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/588,080	HATAKEYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	YEVEGENY VALENROD	1621	

**All Participants:**

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD.

(2) Daniel J. Pereira.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 1pm

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*4-10*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney for the applicant agreed to the proposed Examiners Amendment that placed the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)